Unit

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/866,018	05/24/2001	James E. Kleckner	CNX00-0002	5188		
22835 7590 05/29/2007 PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 2820 FIFTH STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			HAMILTON, LALITA M			
DAVIS, CA 95618-7759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3691			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/29/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/866,018	KLECKNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lalita M. Hamilton	3691	

	Lalita M. Hamilton	3691			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	•				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a national Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid abandonment of ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing da					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	e later than SIX MONTHS from the maili or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 1706.07(f).	ng date of the final rejection. HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laway reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL)	extension and the corresponding amoun e shortened statutory period for reply ori ter than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor	mpliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two months of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further		OTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be		advains or simplifying the issues for			
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in tappeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1		5,001.0 u olalino.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		Compliant Amendment (PTOL -324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		omphant / monamont (1 1 oz oz ).			
		timely filed amendment canceling the			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if subtritted in a separate	e, unlery med amendment canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s):	a) \( \tag{ will not be entered, or b) \( \tag{ will not be entered.} \)	vill be entered and an explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rovided below or appended.				
Claim(s) allowed:		•			
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action,	but before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will not be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence is necessary and			
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:			
42 Distants attached information Displacing Statements	) (PTO/SP/09) Papar No/a)	C 10			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	). (F10/56/06) Paper No(S)	SMI			
		LALITA M. HAMILTON PRIMARY EXAMINER			
		""" EXAMINED			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the amendment, claims 1-30 were canceled and new claims 31-57 were added. The limitations "to make the amendment to the financial transaction by using a public key of a security officer associated with the first party to verify that the permission information was signed by a corresponding private key belonging to the security officer associated with the first party, thereby authorizing the representative of the first party to make the amendment" and "wherein the representative of the first party and the security officer associated with the first party are separate entities; whereby requiring signatures from both the representative of the first party and the security officer associated with the first party prevents perpetration of fraud by a single entity" raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

LALITA M. HAMILTON PRIMARY EXAMINER